# THE DEFIANT GRIN

SUM BUNNY

# THE DEFIANT GRIN

## Why We Must Not Cede the Symbols of Public Joy

By Sum Bunny

2025

**OPEN THROTTLE**
**CC BY 4.0**

YOU ARE FREE

to share and adapt the material for any purpose,
even commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given.

# THE DEFIANT GRIN

*Why We Must Not Cede the Symbols of Public Joy*
**By Sum Bunny**

## I. The Poor Person's Paradise

To the modern eye, the "Steeplechase Face"—that wide, manic grin that once presided over George C. Tilyou's Funny Place at Coney Island—can look unsettling. In an era of irony and cynicism, a smile that big feels suspicious. We instinctively look for the malice behind it.

But this is a misreading of history. To understand that face, you have to understand the world that built it.

It arose in the twilight of the Victorian era—a time of stiff collars, moral surveillance, and rigid social hierarchy. In that context, Coney Island wasn't just an amusement park; it was a "poor person's paradise." It was one of the few places where the working class could experience speed, color, bodily contact, and noise without needing wealth, pedigree, or permission.

The Steeplechase Face wasn't sinister. It was a pressure valve. It was a democratic invitation to lose control. That grin mocked the repression of the ruling class. It said: *You are allowed to feel this. You are allowed to scream, to laugh, and to let the wind mess up your hair.* It was not a symbol of corruption; it was a symbol of resistance to moral over-control.

## II. The Lineage of the Grin

This specific frequency of defiance—the "feral grin" of the underclass laughing at the rigid structures above them—is not unique to Coney Island. It is a recurring historical signal. Whenever power builds a wall of seriousness, the commons builds a face to laugh at it.

- **The Medieval Grotesque (The Body vs. The Church):** High on the cathedrals of Europe, stone carvers placed rude, vomiting, distorted faces. While the interior was for the solemn "Spirit," the exterior belonged to the "Body." These were not demons; they were the stone-masons sticking their tongues out at the Bishop, insisting that biological messiness cannot be banished by moral law.

- **The Jolly Roger (The Outcast vs. The State):** Before it was a cartoon, the skull and crossbones was a labor strike flag. Flown by mutinous crews rejecting the brutal hierarchy of the Royal Navy, it was a statement of fatalistic freedom. It meant: "*We are already dead men to the Crown, so we will live as kings today.*" It was the grin of those with nothing left to lose.

- **La Catrina (The Truth vs. The Ego):** José Guadalupe Posada's elegant skeleton in a French hat was a sharp class satire, mocking indigenous social climbers who tried to pass

as European nobility. The skull's grin leveled the hierarchy, reminding the rich that beneath the lace and powder, they rot just like the poor.

- **The Acid House Smiley (The Joy vs. The Factory):** In the late 1980s, rave culture hijacked a corporate insurance symbol of "polite happiness" and turned it into a mask of ecstatic non-compliance. It took the mandate to "Have a Nice Day" and radicalized it into a demand to lose one's mind in collective euphoria.

The Steeplechase Face sits squarely in this lineage. It is the joy of the crowd refusing to be tidy, quiet, or productive.

# III. The Theft of the Commons

We live in a time where centralized power has become expert at absorbing this folk imagery. Corporations, political movements, and elites frequently strip working-class symbols of their context and wear them as camouflage. They take images born from the chaotic joy of the crowd—the pirate flag, the rave smiley, the carnival face—and use them to sell exclusivity, nostalgia, or menace.

When this happens, independent artists and cultural historians face a choice.

The easy reaction is to say the symbol is "tainted." We see a beloved image misused by power, and we retreat. We decide that because the symbol has been appropriated, it is now corrupt. We abandon it.

This is a mistake. This is **Symbolic Forfeiture**.

# IV. The Trap of Ceding Meaning

If we abandon symbols of popular freedom the moment they are misused by power, we hand power a double victory.

1. **The Misuse:** They get to exploit the image for their own ends.
2. **The Eviction:** They succeed in driving the original meaning out of the culture entirely.

When we cede these images, we are accepting the narrative that power owns everything it touches. We are saying that a CEO or a cynic has more right to define a cultural artifact than the generations of people who actually lived it.

# V. An Artist's Ethic: Refusing the Monopoly

The stance of the independent artist must be one of memory and custody. We are the keepers of the lineage—charged with reproducing the signal, contextualizing the history, and defending the joy.

Reclaiming a symbol is not an act of naïveté. It is an act of refusal. It is the refusal to let the "commons" of visual culture be privatized by bad actors.

These symbols represent **mass culture before mass manipulation.** They represent the "Dark Matter" economy of joy—that vast, unpriced, and unrecorded energy of a crowd happy just to be alive.

Power cannot create that feeling. It can only try to rent it.

# VI. Conclusion

We do not have to hand over our history.

The grin does not belong to the elites who might try to wear it as a mask. It does not belong to the cynics who want to frame all joy as suspicious.

It belongs to the noise. It belongs to the speed. It belongs to the stone masons, the mutinous sailors, the ravers, and the factory workers who scraped together ten cents to enter a world where the rules of the factory and the parlor didn't apply.

Independent artists do not always need to invent new worlds to fight power. Sometimes, the most radical work is simply refusing to give up the old ones. The face stays.

*First edition published via the Internet Archive.*
*Part of the Sum Bunny Canon (Ark Hive Press, 2025).*

This was written through a collaboration between a human author and AI systems acting as analytical tools. The machines provided structure; the human provided judgment. Nothing appears here that the author did not personally create, refine, choose, and claim.

**HEY JOSIANE,**
**YOU ARE MY WONDER WHEEL!**
**SUM BUNNY LOVES YOU!!!**

FREE FLASH